

THE PROPERTIES OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VALUE L -DISTRIBUTION IN DIRECTION

A. I. Bandura

*Ivano-Frankivs'k National Technical University of Oil and Gas;
76019, Ivano-Frankivs'k, Carpats'ka st., 15;
e-mail: andriykopanytsia@gmail.com*

We generalize a concept of function of bounded value l -distribution for multidimensional case. Besides we obtain a connection between class of entire functions of bounded value L -distribution in direction and entire functions of bounded L -index in direction.

Keywords: *entire function, bounded L -index in direction, bounded value L -distribution in direction, directional derivative.*

Entire functions of bounded value distribution and of bounded value l -distribution are investigated in the papers [1]-[3]. Particularly there are proved a connection between these classes of functions and classes of entire functions of bounded index and bounded l -index in papers [1] and [3]. We introduced the concept entire function of bounded L -index in direction in [4]. In connection with these papers we put next question: is there generalization of concept of entire function of bounded value l -distribution for entire functions of several complex variables and is there a connection between this new class and functions of bounded L -index in direction?

Let $L(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$, be a positive continuous function.

Definition 1 (see [4]). *An entire function of $F(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$, is called function of bounded L -index in the direction of $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{C}^n$, if there exists $m_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that for $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and every $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ next inequality is true:*

$$\frac{1}{m!L^m(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^m F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^m} \right| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{k!L^k(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| : 0 \leq k \leq m_0 \right\},$$

where $\frac{\partial^0 F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^0} = F(z)$, $\frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial z_j} b_j$, $\frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \left(\frac{\partial^{k-1} F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{k-1}} \right)$, $k \geq 2$.

We denote

$$\lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(z, t_0, \eta) = \inf \left\{ \frac{L(z + t\mathbf{b})}{L(z + t_0\mathbf{b})} : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{\eta}{L(z + t_0\mathbf{b})} \right\},$$

$$\lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(z, t_0, \eta) = \sup \left\{ \frac{L(z + t\mathbf{b})}{L(z + t_0\mathbf{b})} : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{\eta}{L(z + t_0\mathbf{b})} \right\},$$

$$\lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(z, \eta) = \inf \{ \lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(z, t_0, \eta) : t_0 \in \mathbf{C} \}, \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(z, \eta) = \inf \{ \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(z, t_0, \eta) : t_0 \in \mathbf{C} \},$$

$$\lambda_1^b(\eta) = \inf \{ \lambda_1^b(z, \eta) : z \in \mathbf{C}^n \}, \lambda_2^b(\eta) = \inf \{ \lambda_2^b(z, \eta) : z \in \mathbf{C}^n \}.$$

A class of functions L , which satisfy the condition $0 < \lambda_1^b(\eta) \leq \lambda_2^b(\eta) < +\infty$ for all $\eta \leq 0$, we denote by Q_b^n .

For fixed $z^0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ let c_k^0 are zeros of function $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$, i. e. $F(z^0 + c_k^0\mathbf{b}) = 0$. Then we denote $n(r, z^0, t_0, 1/F) = \sum_{|c_k^0 - t_0| \leq r} 1$ be a normed counting function of sequence zeros c_k^0 .

Definition 2 An entire function $F(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$, is called function of bounded value L -distribution in direction $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ if exists $p \in \mathbf{C} \forall z_0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ such that $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b}) \neq 0$, and $\forall t_0 \in \mathbf{C} \forall w \in \mathbf{C}$ next inequality is true $n(1/L(z^0 + t_0\mathbf{b}), z^0, t_0, 1/F \ w) \leq p$,

i.e. the equation $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b}) = w$ has in $\left\{ t : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{1}{L(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})} \right\}$ at most p solutions and, thus, $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$ is p -valent in $\left\{ t : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{1}{L(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})} \right\}$.

The corresponding Sheremeta's result [3] is generalized for entire functions of bounded value L -distribution in direction.

Theorem 1 Let $L \in Q_b^n$. Entire function $F(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$, is a function of bounded value L -distribution in direction $b \in \mathbf{C}^n$ if and only if its directional derivative $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{b}}$ is of bounded L -index in direction b .

Proof. Suppose that F if of bounded value L -distribution in direction \mathbf{b} , i. e. for all $z^0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ such that $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b}) \neq 0$ and for all $t^0 \in \mathbf{C}$ function $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$ is p -valent in each disc $\left\{ t : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{1}{L(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})} \right\}$

To prove this theorem we need an following theorem ([5], p. 48, Theorem 2.8).

Theorem 2 [5] Let $D_0 = \{t : |t - t_0| < R\}$, $0 < R < \infty$. If an analytic function in D_0 is p -valent in D_0 then for $j > p$

$$\frac{|f^{(j)}(t_0)|}{j!} R^j \leq (Aj)^{2p} \max_{1 \leq k \leq p} \frac{|f^{(k)}(t_0)|}{k!} R^k \quad (1)$$

where $A \equiv \text{const}$ and $A \geq \max_{j > p} \frac{p+2}{2} (8e^{\pi^2})^p \left(1 - \frac{1}{j}\right)^j$.

By Theorem 2 inequality (1) holds with $R = \frac{1}{L(z^0 + t_0\mathbf{b})}$ for function $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$ as function of one variable $t \in \mathbf{C}$ for every fixed $z^0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$. Let $f(t) = F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$, then we can easy prove that for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$ next equality

is true $f^{(p)}(t) = \frac{\partial^p F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p}$. Put $j = p + 1$ in Theorem 2. Then from (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^{p+1} F(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+1}} \right|}{(p+1)! L^{p+1}(z_0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} \leq (A(p+1))^{2p} \max \left\{ \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right|}{k! L^k(z_0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} : 1 \leq k \leq p \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^{p+1} F(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+1}} \right|}{L^{p+1}(z_0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} \leq (p+1)! (A(p+1))^{2p} \max \left\{ \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right|}{L^k(z_0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} : 1 \leq k \leq p \right\} \cdot \max \left\{ \frac{1}{k!} : \right. \\ & \left. 1 \leq k \leq p \right\} \Rightarrow \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} \frac{\partial F(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \right|}{L^p(z_0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} \leq \\ & \leq L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b}) \cdot (p+1)! A^{2p} (p+1)^{2p} \max \left\{ \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{k-1}} \frac{\partial F(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \right|}{L^k(z_0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} : \right. \\ & \left. 0 \leq k-1 \leq p-1 \right\} \Rightarrow \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} \frac{\partial F(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \right|}{L^p(z_0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} \leq \\ & \leq (p+1)! A^{2p} (p+1)^{2p} \max \left\{ \frac{\left| \frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{k-1}} \frac{\partial F(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \right|}{L^{k-1}(z_0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} : 0 \leq k-1 \leq p-1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need a next analogues Hayman's theorem for entire functions of bounded L -index in direction ([4], Theorem 8).

Theorem 3 ([4]) Let $L \in Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$. An entire function $F(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$, is of bounded L -index in direction $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ if, and only if, there exists numbers $p \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $C > 0$ such that for each $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$

$$\frac{1}{L^{p+1}(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^{p+1} F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+1}} \right| \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{1}{L^k(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| : 0 \leq k \leq p \right\} \quad (2)$$

Thus, for $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{b}}$ inequality (2) holds with $p-1$ instead of p and with

$C = (p+1)! A^{2p} (p+1)^{2p}$. In Theorem 2 constant $A \geq \max_{j > p} \frac{p+2}{2} (8e^{\pi^2})^p (1 - \frac{1}{j})^j$

is independent of z^0 , because p is independent of z^0 . Then $C = (p+1)!A^{2p}(p+1)^{2p}$ is independent of z^0 . Thus by Theorem 3 $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{b}}$ is of bounded L -index in direction \mathbf{b} .

On the contrary, let $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{b}}$ be of bounded L -index in direction $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{C}^n$.

By Theorem 3 there exists $p \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $C \geq 1$ such that for each $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ the next inequality is true

$$\frac{1}{L^{p+1}(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^{p+1} F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+1}} \right| \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{1}{L^k(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| : 1 \leq k \leq p \right\} \quad (3)$$

We consider a disk $K_0 = \{t \in \mathbf{C} : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{1}{L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}, t_0 \in \mathbf{C}, z^0 \in \mathbf{C}^n\}$.

We remark that if $L(z) \in Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ and $z^0 \in \mathbf{C}^n, t_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ then for all $r > 0$ the inequality $|t - t_0| \leq \frac{r}{L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})}$ and definition of class $Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ imply the inequality

$$\lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(r)L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b}) \leq L(z^0 + t \mathbf{b}) \leq \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(r)L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b}). \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \left| \frac{\partial^{p+1} F(z^0 + t \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+1}} \right| \left(\frac{1}{C \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(1)L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} \right)^{p+1} \leq \frac{Cp!}{(p+1)!} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{k!} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + t \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \times \right. \\ & \times \left. \left(\frac{1}{C \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(1)L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} \right)^k \left(\frac{L(z^0 + t \mathbf{b})}{C \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(1)L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} \right)^{p+1-k} : 1 \leq k \leq p \right\} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{C}{p+1} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{k!} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + t \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \left(\frac{1}{C \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(1)L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} \right)^k \left(\frac{1}{C} \right)^{p+1-k} : 1 \leq k \leq p \right\} \leq \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{k!} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0 + t \mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \left(\frac{1}{C \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(1)L(z^0 + t_0 \mathbf{b})} \right)^k : 1 \leq k \leq p \right\}. \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

To prove this theorem we need an following theorem ([5], p. 44, Theorem 2.7).

Theorem 4 Let $D_0 = \{t \in \mathbf{C} : |t - t_0| < R\}, 0 < R < +\infty$, and $f(t)$ be analytic function in D_0 . If for all $z \in D_0$

$$\left(\frac{R}{2} \right)^{p+1} \frac{|f^{(p+1)}(t)|}{(p+1)!} \leq \max \left\{ \left(\frac{R}{2} \right) \frac{|f^{(k)}(z)|}{k!} : 1 \leq k \leq p \right\} \quad (6)$$

then $f(t)$ is p -valent in $\left\{t \in \mathbf{C} : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{R}{25\sqrt{p+1}}\right\}$, i. e. $f(t)$ assumes each values at most p times.

The inequality (5) implies inequality (6) with $R = \frac{2}{C\lambda_2^b(1)L(z^0 + t_0\mathbf{b})}$.
By Theorem 4 the function $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$ is p -valent in the disk $\left\{t \in \mathbf{C} : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{\rho}{L(z^0 + t_0\mathbf{b})}\right\}$, $\rho = \frac{2}{25C\lambda_2^b(1)\sqrt{p+1}}$.

Let t_j be arbitrary point in K_0 and $K_j^* = \left\{t \in \mathbf{C} : |t - t_j| \leq \frac{\rho}{L(z^0 + t_j\mathbf{b})}\right\}$.

Since

$$L(z^0 + t_j\mathbf{b}) \leq \lambda_2^b(1)L(z^0 + t_0\mathbf{b})$$

from definitions class Q_b^n , we see that

$$K_j = \left\{t \in \mathbf{C} : |t - t_j| \leq \frac{\rho}{\lambda_2^b(1)L(z^0 + t_0\mathbf{b})}\right\} \subset K_j^*.$$

We can repeat the above considerations to the set $\left\{t \in \mathbf{C} : |t - t_j| \leq \frac{1}{L(z^0 + t_j\mathbf{b})}\right\}$ and as above we obtain that $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$ is p -valent in K_j^* . But $K_j \subset K_j^*$ therefore $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$ is p -valent in K_j .

Finally we remark that each closed discs of radius R_* we can cover by a finite number m_* of closed disks of radius $\rho_* < R_*$ and with center in this disk, moreover, $m_* < B_*(R_*/\rho_*)^2$, where $B_* > 0$ is an absolute constant. Hence, K_0 can be covered be a finite number m of disks K_j , where $m \leq 625(p+1)C^2(\lambda_2^b(1))^2/4$. Since $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$ in K_j is p -valent, it is mp -valent in K_0 .

In view of arbitrariness of t_0 and z^0 , the theorem is proved.

References

1. Hayman W.K. Differential inequalities and local valency / W.K.Hayman // Pacific J. Math. – 1973. – **44**, No.1. – P. 117-137.
2. Kuzyk A.D. Entire functions of bounded value l -distribution / A.D.Kuzyk, M.M.Sheremeta // Matem. zam. – 1986. – **39**, No1. – P.3-13 (in Russian).
3. Sheremeta M.M. About l -index and value l -distribution of entire functions / M.M.Sheremeta // Izv. vuzov. Mat. – 1990. – **2**. – P. 94-96 (in Russian).
4. Bandura A.I. Entire functions of bounded L -index in direction / A.I.Bandura, O.B.Skaskiv // Math. Stud. – 2007. – **27**, No1. – P. 30-52 (in Ukrainian).

5. Sheremeta M. Analytic functions of bounded index / M.Sheremeta // Sheremeta Myroslav. – Lviv: VNTL Publishers, 1999. – 141 p.

Стаття надійшла до редакційної колегії 08.09.2011 р.

Рекомендовано до друку д.ф.-м.н., професором Загороднюком А.В.

ВЛАСТИВОСТІ ЦІЛИХ ФУНКЦІЙ ОБМЕЖЕНОГО L -РОЗПОДІЛУ ЗНАЧЕНЬ ЗА НАПРЯМКОМ

А. І. Бандура

Івано-Франківський національний технічний університет нафти і газу;

76019, Івано-Франківськ, вул. Карпатська, 15;

e-mail: andriykoranytsia@gmail.com

Узагальнено поняття функції обмеженого L -розподілу значень на багатовимірний випадок. Отримано зв'язок між класом цілих функцій обмеженого L -розподілу значень за напрямком та класом цілих функцій обмеженого L -індексу за напрямком.

Ключові слова: *ціла функція, обмежений L -індекс за напрямом, обмежений L -розподіл значень за напрямком, похідна за напрямком.*