

УДК 517.55

THE METRIC PROPERTIES OF A SPACE OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED L -INDEX IN DIRECTION

A. I. Bandura

*Ivano-Frankivs'k National Technical University of Oil and Gas;
76019, Ivano-Frankivs'k, Carpathians str., 15;
ph. +380 (342) 72-71-31; e-mail: andriykopanytsia@gmail.com*

The metric properties of a space of entire functions of bounded L -index in direction are investigated. It is shown that the space of entire functions with L -index in direction less than p is of the first category in the topology generated of Iyer's metric.

Key words: *entire function, bounded L -index in direction, bounded value L -distribution in direction, directional derivative.*

K. Ekblaw investigated properties of a space of entire functions of bounded index for one variable in [1]. He proved that in topology generated by metric $d(f, g) = \sup\{|a_0 - b_0|, |a_p - b_p|^{1/p} : p \in \mathbf{N}\}$ the entire functions of bounded index, B , are of the first category. Later M. Bordulyak generalized this result for entire functions of several complex variables in [2]. We introduced the entire functions of bounded L -index in direction in [3].

Therefore results of Bordulyak and Ekblaw are generalized for entire functions in \mathbf{C}^n of bounded L -index in direction.

Let $L(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$, be a positive continuous function.

Definition 1 (see[3]). *An entire function of $F(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$, is called function of bounded L -index in the direction of $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{C}^n$, if there exists $m_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that for $m \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and every $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ next inequality is true:*

$$\frac{1}{m!L^m(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^m F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^m} \right| \leq \max \frac{1}{k!L^k(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| : 0 \leq k \leq m_0,$$

where $\frac{\partial^0 F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^0} = F(z)$, $\frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial z_j} b_j$, $\frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{b}} \left(\frac{\partial^{k-1} F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{k-1}} \right)$, $k \geq 2$.

The least such integer m_0 is called the L -index in direction of $F(z)$ and is denoted by $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)$. If such m_0 does not exist then we put $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) = \infty$ and F is said of unbounded L -index in direction. We also denote by $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L, z^0)$ as L -index in direction \mathbf{b} of function F in a point z^0 that is the least integer m_0 for which inequality (1) is true at $z = z^0$.

For entire in \mathbf{C}^n functions $F(z)$, $G(z)$ we put

$$d(F, G) = \sup_{p \in \mathbf{N}} \left| F(0) - G(0) \right|, \left| \frac{1}{p! |\mathbf{b}|^{2p}} \frac{\partial^p F(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} - \frac{1}{p! |\mathbf{b}|^{2p}} \frac{\partial^p G(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} \right|^{1/p},$$

and a space of entire functions with such metric is denoted $E_{\mathbf{b}}^n$.

Let $B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L)$ be a set of entire functions in \mathbf{C}^n of bounded L -index in direction and $B_{\mathbf{b}, \nu}^n(L)$ be a set of functions with $B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L)$ such that $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) \leq \nu$. It is clear that $B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L) = \bigcup_{\nu} B_{\mathbf{b}, \nu}^n(L)$.

Besides we denote $a, c = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \bar{b}_j$ be a scalar product in \mathbf{C}^n , $a, c \in \mathbf{C}^n$.

Lemma 1. For any $F \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n$, $\nu_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $G \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ and $d(F, G) < \delta$ then $d\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k}, \frac{\partial^k G}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k}\right) < \varepsilon$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu_0$.

Proof. Let $F \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n$, $\nu_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let

$$T > \sup_{p \in \mathbf{N}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu_0} \max\{1, |\mathbf{b}|^{2+2k/p}\} \frac{(p+k)!}{p!}^{1/p}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that if $G(z) \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ and $d(F, G) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + T} < 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k}, \frac{\partial^k G}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k}\right) &= \sup_{p \in \mathbf{N}} \left\{ k! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k} \left| \frac{1}{k! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k}} \frac{\partial^k F(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} - \frac{1}{k! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k}} \frac{\partial^k G(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right|, \left(\frac{(p+k)! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k+2p}}{p!} \times \right. \right. \\ &\times \left. \left. \left| \frac{1}{(p+k)! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k+2p}} \frac{\partial^{p+k} F(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+k}} - \frac{1}{(p+k)! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k+2p}} \frac{\partial^{p+k} G(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+k}} \right| \right)^{1/p} : p \in \mathbf{N} \right\} < \\ &< \sup_{p \in \mathbf{N}} \left\{ k! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k}, \left(\frac{(p+k)! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k+2p}}{p!} \right)^{1/p} : p \in \mathbf{N} \right\} \sup_{p \in \mathbf{N}} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{k! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k}} \frac{\partial^k F(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} - \frac{1}{k! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k}} \frac{\partial^k G(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right|^k, \right. \\ &\left. \left| \frac{1}{(p+k)! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k+2p}} \frac{\partial^{p+k} F(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+k}} - \frac{1}{(p+k)! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k+2p}} \frac{\partial^{p+k} G(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+k}} \right|^{\frac{1}{p+k} \frac{p+k}{p}} : p \in \mathbf{N} \right\} < \\ &< \sup_{p \in \mathbf{N}} \max\{1, |\mathbf{b}|^{2+2k/p}\} \frac{(p+k)!}{p!}^{1/p} \sup_{p \in \mathbf{N}} \left| \frac{1}{k! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k}} \frac{\partial^k F(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} - \frac{1}{k! |\mathbf{b}|^{2k}} \frac{\partial^k G(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right|^k, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{(p+k)!|\mathbf{b}|^{2k+2p}} \frac{\partial^{p+k} F(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+k}} - \frac{1}{(p+k)!|\mathbf{b}|^{2k+2p}} \frac{\partial^{p+k} G(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+k}} \right|^{\frac{1}{p+k}} : p \in \mathbf{N} <$$

$$< T \sup |F(0) - G(0)|, \left| \frac{1}{p!|\mathbf{b}|^{2p}} \frac{\partial^p F(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} - \frac{1}{p!|\mathbf{b}|^{2p}} \frac{\partial^p G(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} \right|^{1/p} : p \in \mathbf{N} < T \frac{\varepsilon}{T+\varepsilon} < \varepsilon$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem 1 Let $F \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n$, $v \in \mathbf{N}$, $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) > v$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $G \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ and $d(F, G) < \delta$ then $N_{\mathbf{b}}(G, L) > v$.

Proof. As $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) > v$ then there exists $z^0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $v_0 > v$ such that $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L, z^0) = v_0 > v$. For every $k \leq v_0 - 1$ next inequality is true

$$\frac{1}{k!L^k(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| < \frac{1}{v_0!L^{v_0}(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^{v_0} F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{v_0}} \right|.$$

This inequality is strict because v_0 is least integer for constrict inequality at point z^0 . Then there exists $\delta^* > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{k!L^k(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| + \delta^* < \frac{1}{v_0!L^{v_0}(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^{v_0} F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{v_0}} \right|.$$

It is obviously that

$$|a - c| + |b - d| \geq (a - c) + (b - d).$$

Then $a - b \geq c - d$, $|a - c| \leq |b - d|$. We apply this inequality and we have

$$\frac{1}{v_0!L^{v_0}(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^{v_0} G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{v_0}} \right| - \frac{1}{k!L^k(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^k G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \geq \frac{1}{v_0!L^{v_0}(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^{v_0} F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{v_0}} \right| - \frac{1}{k!L^k(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| -$$

$$- \frac{1}{v_0!L^{v_0}(z^0)} \left\| \frac{\partial^{v_0} G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{v_0}} \right\| - \left\| \frac{\partial^{v_0} F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{v_0}} \right\| - \frac{1}{k!L^k(z^0)} \left\| \frac{\partial^k G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right\| - \left\| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right\|. \quad (3)$$

Using idea of proof Taylor's formula we can prove that

$$F(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!|\mathbf{b}|^{2p}} \frac{\partial^p F(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} z, \mathbf{b}^p$$

and

$$G(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!|\mathbf{b}|^{2p}} \frac{\partial^p G(0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^p} z, \mathbf{b}^p.$$

Hence clearly

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right\| - \left\| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right\| \leq d \left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k}, \frac{\partial^k G}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(d \left(\frac{\partial^k F}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k}, \frac{\partial^k G}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right) \right)^j |\langle z^0, \mathbf{b} \rangle|^j$$

By Lemma 1 we can choose a number δ such that if $d(F, G) < \delta$ then $d \frac{\partial^k F}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k}, \frac{\partial^k G}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} < \varepsilon < 1$ and $d \frac{\partial^k F}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k}, \frac{\partial^k G}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \mid z^0, \mathbf{b} \mid < \varepsilon < 1$ for all $k \leq \nu_0$.

Therefore, for all $k \leq \nu_0$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \leq \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon)}{1 - \varepsilon}$$

and from (2) and (3) for all $k \leq \nu_0 - 1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\nu_0! L^{\nu_0}(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^{\nu_0} G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{\nu_0}} \right| - \frac{1}{k! L^k(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^k G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| \geq \delta^* - \frac{\varepsilon(2 - \varepsilon)}{1 - \varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\nu_0! L^{\nu_0}(z^0)} + \frac{1}{k! L^k(z^0)} \right)$$

whence, in view of arbitrary of ε , it follows

$$\frac{1}{\nu_0! L^{\nu_0}(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^{\nu_0} G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{\nu_0}} \right| - \frac{1}{k! L^k(z^0)} \left| \frac{\partial^k G(z^0)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| > \frac{\delta^*}{2}$$

for all $k \leq \nu_0 - 1$ that is $\infty \geq N_{\mathbf{b}}(L, G) \geq N_{\mathbf{b}}(L, G, z^0) \geq \nu_0 > \nu$. Theorem 1 is proved.

Remark 1 The condition $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L) > \nu$ in Theorem 1 is equivalent that $F \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n \setminus B_{\mathbf{b}, \nu}^n(L)$. Therefore we can reformulate the Theorem 1.

Theorem Let $F \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n, \nu \in \mathbf{N}, F \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n \setminus B_{\mathbf{b}, \nu}^n(L)$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $G \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ and $d(F, G) < \delta$ then $G \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n \setminus B_{\mathbf{b}, \nu}^n(L)$.

Corollary 1 The set $B_{\mathbf{b}, \nu}^n(L)$ is closed in E^n .

Lemma 2 If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree p then $F(z) = \exp z, a + P(z)$ is of L -index in direction $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ less or equal $p+1$, where $a \in \mathbf{C}^n, L(z) = \max\{1, |\langle \mathbf{b}, a \rangle|\}, \langle \mathbf{b}, a \rangle \neq 0$. If $\langle \mathbf{b}, a \rangle \neq 0$ then $F(z)$ is index in direction $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ of 0.

Proof. Let $k > p+1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k! L^k(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| &= \frac{|\exp \langle z, a \rangle|}{k! L^k(z)} |\langle \mathbf{b}, a \rangle|^k \leq \frac{|\exp \langle z, a \rangle|}{k!} < \frac{|\exp \langle z, a \rangle|}{(p+1)! L^{p+1}(z)} |\langle \mathbf{b}, a \rangle|^{p+1} = \\ &= \frac{1}{(p+1)! L^{p+1}(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^{p+1} F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^{p+1}} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and hence $F(z)$ is of L -index in direction $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ less or equal $p+1$. If $\langle \mathbf{b}, a \rangle \neq 0$ then for all $k > 1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{k! L^k(z)} \left| \frac{\partial^k F(z)}{\partial \mathbf{b}^k} \right| = \frac{|\exp z, a|}{k! L^k(z)} |\langle \mathbf{b}, a \rangle|^k \leq \frac{|\exp z, a|}{k!} = 0.$$

Therefore $F(z)$ is index in direction $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of 0.

We denote

$$\lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(z, t_0, \eta) = \inf \left\{ \frac{L(z + t\mathbf{b})}{L(z + t_0\mathbf{b})} : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{\eta}{L(z + t_0\mathbf{b})} \right\},$$

$$\lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(z, t_0, \eta) = \sup \left\{ \frac{L(z + t\mathbf{b})}{L(z + t_0\mathbf{b})} : |t - t_0| \leq \frac{\eta}{L(z + t_0\mathbf{b})} \right\},$$

$$\lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(z, \eta) = \inf \{ \lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(z, t_0, \eta) : t_0 \in \mathbb{C} \}, \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(z, \eta) = \inf \{ \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(z, t_0, \eta) : t_0 \in \mathbb{C} \},$$

$$\lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(\eta) = \inf \{ \lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(z, \eta) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \}, \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(\eta) = \inf \{ \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(z, \eta) : z \in \mathbb{C}^n \}.$$

A class of functions L , which satisfy the condition $0 < \lambda_1^{\mathbf{b}}(\eta) \leq \lambda_2^{\mathbf{b}}(\eta) < +\infty$ for all $\eta \leq 0$, we denote by $Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3 *If $L \in Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ and an entire transcendental function $F(z)$ is of bounded L -index in direction $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then for all $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$*

$$\ln M(r, F, z^0) = O\left(\int_0^r L(z^0 + t\mathbf{b}) dt\right), r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $M(r, F, z^0) = \max\{|F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})| : |t| = r\}$.

Proof. The proof follows from the same lemma for the case of functions of one variable (see Theorem 3.3 on page 71 in [4]) and the fact that $F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})$ is a function of one variable $t \in \mathbb{C}$ if z^0 is fixed.

Theorem 2 *If $L \in Q_{\mathbf{b}}^n$ then for every $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the set $B_{\mathbf{b},v}^n(L)$ is nowhere dense in $B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L)$ and thus $B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L)$ is of the first category. The sets $E_{\mathbf{b}}^n \setminus B_{\mathbf{b},v}^n(L)$ and $E_{\mathbf{b}}^n \setminus B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L)$ is dense in $E_{\mathbf{b}}^n$*

Proof. Let $F(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} F_p \langle z, \mathbf{b} \rangle^p$ be an entire function such that for all $z^0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\frac{\ln M(r, F, z^0)}{\int_0^r L(z^0 + t\mathbf{b}) dt} \rightarrow +\infty, r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $M(r, F, z^0) = \max\{|F(z^0 + t\mathbf{b})| : |t| = r\}$. Then by Lemma 3 F is of

unbounded L -index in direction $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $f(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} f_p \langle z, \mathbf{b} \rangle^p$ be an entire

function of bounded L -index $N_{\mathbf{b}}(F, L)$ in direction b . We denote

$$f_j^*(z) = \sum_{p=0}^j \langle z, \mathbf{b} \rangle^p + \sum_{p=j+1}^{\infty} F_p \langle z, \mathbf{b} \rangle^p \text{ and } f_{j,m}(z) = \sum_{p=0}^j \langle z, \mathbf{b} \rangle^p + \sum_{p=j+1}^m F_p \langle z, \mathbf{b} \rangle^p,$$

where $m > j$.

Then f_j^* is of unbounded L -index in direction \mathbf{b} for any j i. e. $N_{\mathbf{b}}(L, f_j^*) > N$, $j > 0$. It is easy see that $d(f, f_j^*) \rightarrow 0$, $d(f_j^*, f_{j,m}^*) \rightarrow 0$ and $d(f, f_{j,m}^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 1 $N_{\mathbf{b}}(L, f_{j,m}^*) > N$ for sufficiently large m . On other hand $N(L; f_{j,m}^*) \leq m$. Thus, $f_{j,m}^* \in B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L) \setminus B_{\mathbf{b},v}^n(L)$ that is $B_{\mathbf{b},v}^n(L)$ is nowhere dense in $B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L)$.

If f is any function from $B^n(\mathbf{b}, L)$, we choose f_j^* as above. Then $f_j^* \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n \setminus B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L)$ and $d(f, f_j^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the sets $E_{\mathbf{b}}^n \setminus B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L)$ is dense in E .

Finally, let $f \in E_{\mathbf{b}}^n \setminus (B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L) \setminus B_{\mathbf{b},v}^n(L))$ i. e. either f is unbounded L -index in direction \mathbf{b} or $N_{\mathbf{b}}(f, L) \leq N$. We will show that in both cases f is limiting for some functions f_j^* with $N < N_{\mathbf{b}}(L; f_j^*) < +\infty$. In the first case we choose

$$f_j^*(z) = \sum_{p=0}^j f_n \langle z, \mathbf{b} \rangle^p.$$

Then $d(f, f_j^*) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ and by Theorem 1 $N_{\mathbf{b}}(L, f_j^*) \leq N$ for large j . In the second case for $j \in B_{\mathbf{b},v}^n(L)$ we choose, as above, $f_{j,m}^* \in B_{\mathbf{b}}^n(L) \setminus B_{\mathbf{b},v}^n(L)$. Theorem 2 is proved.

References

1. Ekblaw K.A. The functions of bounded index as a subspace of a space of entire functions / K.A.Ekblaw // Pacific J. Math. – 1971. – V.37. – P. 353-355.
2. Bordulyak M.T. The space of entire in \mathbb{C}^n functions of bounded L -index / M.T.Bordulyak // Math. Stud. – 1995. – V.4. – P. 53-58 (in Ukrainian).
3. Bandura A.I. Entire functions of bounded L -index in direction / A.I.Bandura, O.B.Skaskiv // Math. Stud. – 2007. – 27, N.1. – P. 30-52 (in Ukrainian).
4. Sheremeta M. Analytic functions of bounded index / M.Sheremeta // Sheremeta Myroslav. – Lviv: VNTL Publishers, 1999. – 141 p.

Стаття поступила в редакційну колегію 10.12.2012 р.

*Рекомендовано до друку д. ф.-м. н., професором Загороднюком А.В.,
д.ф.-м.н., професором Лопушанським О.В (м. Львів)*

**МЕТРИЧНІ ВЛАСТИВОСТІ ПРОСТОРУ ЦІЛИХ ФУНКЦІЙ
ОБМЕЖЕНОГО L -ІНДЕКСУ ЗА НАПРЯМОМ****А. І. Бандура**

*Івано-Франківський національний технічний університет нафти і газу;
76019, м. Івано-Франківськ, вул. Карпатська, 15;
тел. +380 (342) 72-71-31; e-mail: andriykoranytsia@gmail.com*

Досліджено метричні властивості простору цілих у C^n функцій обмеженого L -індексу за напрямом. Доведено, що простір цілих функцій, L -індекс за напрямом яких не перевищує p , є простором першої категорії у топології, породженій метрикою I_{era} .

Ключові слова: *ціла функція, обмежений L -індекс за напрямом, метрика I_{era} , простір першої категорії, похідна за напрямом.*