Диференціальні рівняння і математична фізика ===

UDC 517.524+517.588

CONVERGENCE OF GAUSS CONTINUED FRACTION FOR THE RATIO OF HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS IN \mathbb{Q}_{p}

M. M. Symotyuk, O. M. Medvid

Pidstryhach Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine; 79060, L'viv, Naukovas str., 3b; e-mail: quaternion@ukr.net, medoks@ukr.net

The conditions of convergence of Gauss continued fraction to the ratio of hypergeometric functions in the field of p-adic numbers are established.

Key words: hypergeometric functions of Gauss, continued fraction of Gauss, p-adic numbers.

1. Introduction and formulation of main results

The following fraction is called Gauss continued fraction [1, 2]

$$1 + \mathop{D}_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n z}{1}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$a_{2n+1} = -\frac{(a+n)(c-b+n)}{(c+2n)(c+2n+1)}, \ n \ge 0, \ a_{2n} = -\frac{(b+n)(c-a+n)}{(c+2n-1)(c+2n)}, \ n \ge 1, \ (2)$$

and a,b,c are any complex numbers, such that $c \notin \{0;-1;-2;...\}$. Let us notice that if at least one of the numbers a,b belongs to the set $\{0;-1;-2;...\}$, then the fraction (1) reduces to the ratio of polynomials.

The fraction (1) arises from the expansion of the ratio of Gauss hypergeometric functions

$$\frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} \tag{3}$$

into continued fraction [2]. Let us recall [3] that Gauss function F(a,b;c;z) is given inside the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ by the sum of Gauss hypergeometric series

$$F(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$
(4)

where $a,b,c \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \notin \{0;-1;-2;...\}$, $(\cdot)_n$ are Pochhammer symbols:

$$(a)_0 = 1, (a)_n = a(a+1) \cdot ... \cdot (a+n-1), n \in \mathbb{N}$$
.

In similar way from (4) we can obtain following equalities

$$F(a,b;c;z) = F(a,b+1;c+1;z) - \frac{a(c-b)}{c(c+1)} z F(a+1,b+1;c+2;z), (5)$$

$$F(a,b+1;c+1;z) = F(a+1,b+1;c+2;z) -$$

$$-\frac{(b+1)(c-a+1)}{(c+1)(c+2)}zF(a+1,b+2;c+3;z),$$
 (6)

From equalities (5), (6) we obtain the following recurrent relations

$$w_n(z) = 1 + \frac{a_{n+1}z}{w_{n+1}(z)}, \quad n \ge 0,$$
 (7)

where

$$w_{2n+1}(z) = \frac{F(a+n,b+n+1;c+2n+1;z)}{F(a+n+1,b+n+1;c+2n+2;z)}, \qquad n \ge 0,$$

$$w_{2n}(z) = \frac{F(a+n,b+n;c+2n;z)}{F(a+n,b+n+1;c+2n+1;z)}, \qquad n \ge 1,$$
(8)

and a_n , $n \ge 1$, are defined by equations (2). Then from equalities (7), (8) we obtain

$$\frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} = 1 + \frac{a_1 z}{1 + \frac{a_2 z}{\vdots}}, n \ge 2.$$

$$\vdots + \frac{a_n z}{w_n(z)}$$

So we get a continued fraction expansion of the ratio (3) (see [2])

$$\frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} \sim 1 + D \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n z}{1}.$$

The sequence of functions

$$f_0(z) = 1$$
, $f_n(z) = 1 + D \frac{a_k z}{1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (9)

is called the sequence of approximants of fraction (1).

The Gauss fraction (1) is said to converge (uniformly) to the function G(z) in the set M, if the sequence of its approximants $\{f_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges (uniformly) on M to G(z) as $n \to \infty$. The interesting question is: for which a,b,c and for which value z fraction (9) converges to the relation (3).

In the work [2] it was established that for $a,b,c \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \notin \{0;-1;-2;...\}$, Gauss continued fraction (1) converges to the ratio (3) in the cut plane $P = \{z \in C : |arg(1-z)| < \pi\}$ and convergence is uniformly on every compact subset of $\{z \in P : G(z) \neq \infty\}$, where $G(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(z)$.

In present work the results of the work [2] are transferred to the case when the parameters a,b,c,z of the Gauss continued fraction (1) are p-adic numbers and the convergence of sequence of approximants (9) is considered in the p-adic norm. The main result of this work consists in the following propositions:

Theorem 1. Let $a,b,c \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ be such that

$$\begin{split} &|a|_{p} \neq |c|_{p}, \ |b|_{p} \neq |c|_{p}, \ \min\{|a|_{p}, |b|_{p}\} > 1, \ |c|_{p} > \max\{|a|_{p}, |b|_{p}\} \,. \end{split}$$
 Then fraction (1) uniformly converges in the p-adic disk $\{z \in \mathbf{Q}_{p}: |z|_{p} < 1\}.$

Theorem 2. Let $a,b,c \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ be such that

$$\begin{split} &|a|_p \neq |c|_p, \ |b|_p \neq |c|_p, \ \min\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} > 1, \ |c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p, |ab|_p\}\}. \\ & \textit{Then Gauss fraction uniformly converges in the p-adic disk} \\ & \left\{z \in \mathbf{Q}_p: |z|_p < p^{1/(1-p)}\right\} \ \textit{to the ratio (3)}. \end{split}$$

2. Basic concepts of p-adic numbers

In order to prove Theorems 1, 2 let us recall some concepts of the theory of p-adic numbers [4]. Let us define the p-adic norm in the set of rational numbers \mathbf{Q} by the rule

$$|0|_{p} = 0, \quad |x|_{p} = \frac{1}{p^{\operatorname{ord}_{p}x}}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\},$$

where p is the prime number, and where the p-adic ordinal ord px of the rational number x is defined by means of the equality

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} x = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} : x \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m}} \right\}, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}, x \neq 0, \\ \operatorname{ord}_{p} a - \operatorname{ord}_{p} b, & \text{if } x = \frac{a}{b}, & a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

The field of p-adic numbers, denoted by the symbol \mathbf{Q}_p , is defined as the completion of the field of rational numbers \mathbf{Q} with respect to the p-adic norm introduced above. For the p-adic norm the strengthened triangle inequality holds, namely

$$|x + y|_p \le \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}.$$

This inequality implies the principle of isosceles triangle [4] for the field \mathbf{Q}_p , which consists in that for any $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ the alternative holds: either $|x|_p = |y|_p$, or $|x \pm y|_p \le \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\}$, if $|x|_p \ne |y|_p$.

3. Properties of the partial numerators

Now we shall obtain properties of a_n , $n \ge 1$, defined by the equality (2). Let us denote: $D(r) = \{z \in \mathbf{Q}_p : |z|_p < r\}$, r > 0.

Lemma 1. If $a,b,c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $|a|_p \neq |c|_p$, $|b|_p \neq |c|_p$, $\min\{|a|_p,|b|_p\} > 1$, $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p,|b|_p\}$, then following equalities hold:

$$|a_n|_p \le \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}/|c|_p < 1, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Proof. As $|n|_p \le 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then from the conditions of Lemma 1 together with the principle of the isosceles triangle [4] it follows that

$$|a+n|_{p} = |a|_{p}, |b+n|_{p} = |b|_{p}, |c+n|_{p} = |c|_{p}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$|c-a+n|_{p} = |c|_{p}, |c-b+n|_{p} = |c|_{p}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(10)

From the inequality $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}$ together with the relations (2), (10) we obtain that the following relations hold

$$\begin{split} &|a_{2n+1}|_p = |a|_p / |c|_p \le \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} / |c|_p < 1, \quad n \ge 0, \\ &|a_{2n}|_p = |b|_p / |c|_p \le \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\} / |c|_p < 1, \quad n \ge 1. \end{split}$$

Lemma is proved.

4. Properties of the canonical numerators and denominators

Let us define *p*-adic norms of the canonical numerators and denominators of the Gauss fraction. Let us remark that the recurrence sequences of functions $\{A_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\{B_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, which are defined from the equalities

$$A_0(z) = 1$$
, $A_1(z) = a_1 z + 1$, $B_0(z) = 1$, $B_1(z) = 1$,

 $A_n(z) = A_{n-1}(z) + a_n z A_{n-2}(z)$, $B_n(z) = B_{n-1}(z) + a_n z B_{n-2}(z)$, $n \ge 2$, (11) are the canonical numerators and denominators of approximants of the Gauss fraction, so that

$$f_n(z) = A_n(z)/B_n(z), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Lemma 2. Let $a,b,c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $|a|_p \neq |c|_p$, $|b|_p \neq |c|_p$, $\min\{|a|_p,|b|_p\} > 1$, $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p,|b|_p\}$. If $z \in D(1)$ then

$$|A_n(z)|_p = 1, \quad |B_n(z)|_p = 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$
 (12)

Proof. We shall apply the method of mathematical induction on n. It is obvious that $|A_0(z)|_p = 1$, $|B_0(z)|_p = 1$, $|B_1(z)|_p = 1$. From Lemma 1 for all $z \in D(1)$ we obtain $|a_1z|_p < |a_1|_p < 1$, therefore from the principle of isosceles triangle we obtain $|A_1(z)|_p = 1$. Thus the equalities (12) are true for n = 0.1 and the base of induction is established.

We assume that equalities (12) are true for all n < k, where $k \ge 3$. Then from Lemma 1 and from the inductive hypothesis it follows that for all $z \in D(1)$

$$|A_{k-1}(z)|_p = 1$$
, $|a_k z A_{k-2}(z)|_p = |a_k z|_p < |a_k|_p < 1$,
 $|B_{k-1}(z)|_p = 1$, $|a_k z B_{k-2}(z)|_p = |a_k z|_p < |a_k|_p < 1$.

From these relations together with the recurrent relations (11) and the principle of isosceles triangle we obtain

$$|A_k(z)|_p = \max\{|A_{k-1}(z)|_p, |a_k z A_{k-2}(z)|_p\} = 1,$$

 $|B_k(z)|_p = \max\{|B_{k-1}(z)|_p, |a_k z B_{k-2}(z)|_p\} = 1,$

what means that the equalities (12) hold for n = k. Therefore, the step of induction is obtained. Lemma is proved.

5. The convergence of sequence of approximants

Let us establish conditions of convergence of the sequence $\{f_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, defined by formula (9).

Lemma 3. Let $a,b,c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $|a|_p \neq |c|_p$, $|b|_p \neq |c|_p$, $\min\{|a|_p,|b|_p\} > 1$, $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p,|b|_p\}$. If $z \in D(1)$ then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|f_n(z) - f_{n-1}(z)|_p = |a_1|_p \cdot ... \cdot |a_n|_p \cdot |z|_p^n.$$
 (13)

Proof. We shall use the method of mathematical induction on n. For n = 1 we have

$$\left| f_1(z) - f_0(z) \right|_p = \left| \frac{A_1(z)}{B_1(z)} - \frac{A_0(z)}{B_0(z)} \right|_p = \left| \frac{A_1(z)B_0(z) - A_0(z)B_1(z)}{B_1(z)B_0(z)} \right|_p = \left| a_1 z \right|_p.$$

Let us assume that formula (13) is true for n = k, $k \ge 1$. Now we will prove that it is true for n = k + 1. In fact, on the basis of Lemma 2, $|B_n(z)|_p = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that

$$\begin{split} \left| f_{k+1}(z) - f_k(z) \right|_p &= \left| \frac{A_{k+1}(z)}{B_{k+1}(z)} - \frac{A_k(z)}{B_k(z)} \right|_p = \\ &= \left| \frac{A_{k+1}(z)B_k(z) - A_k(z)B_{k+1}(z)}{B_{k+1}(z)B_k(z)} \right|_p = \left| A_{k+1}(z)B_k(z) - A_k(z)B_{k+1}(z) \right|_p. \end{split}$$

By applying to the functions $A_{k+1}(z)$, $B_{k+1}(z)$ the recurrent relations (11) in complience with the induction assumptions and according to Lemmas 1, 2, we obtain that

$$|f_{k+1}(z) - f_k(z)|_p = |A_k(z)B_k(z) + a_{k+1}zA_{k-1}(z)B_k(z) - A_k(z)B_k(z) - a_{k+1}zA_k(z)B_{k-1}(z)|_p =$$

$$= |a_{k+1}z(A_{k-1}(z)B_k(z) - A_k(z)B_{k-1}(z))|_p =$$

$$||a_{k+1}z|_p|f_k(z)-f_{k-1}(z)|_p=|a_1|_p\cdots |a_{k+1}|_p\cdot |z|_p^{k+1}.$$

Lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. Based on the assumptions of the Theorem and of Lemmas 1, 3 it follows that for any $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$, m > n, and $z \in D(1)$ the next estimates are true:

$$|f_n(z) - f_m(z)|_p \le \max_{n+1 \le j \le m} |f_j(z) - f_{j-1}(z)|_p < (\max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}/|c|_p)^n.$$

From inequality $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}$ the fundamentality of sequence (9) in \mathbf{Q}_p follows and so its convergence in \mathbf{Q}_p follows too.

6. Convergence of the sequence of approximants to the ratio of hypergeometric functions

The Theorem 1 bring us to the fact that in the circle D(1) there exists a function $f: D(1) \to \mathbf{Q}_p$, which is the point limit of the sequence (9):

$$f(z) := \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(z), z \in D(1).$$

From Lemma 2 it follows that the image of the map f(z) in fact is a subset of unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |z|_p = 1\}$.

Let us establish the requirements for the parameters $a,b,c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ for which the function f(z) equals the ratio (3).

Lemma 4. Let $a,b,c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\min\{|a|_p,|b|_p\} > 1$, $|c|_p > |ab|_p$. If $z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)})$, then $|F(a,b;c;z)|_p = 1$ for all $z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)})$.

Proof. It is known [4] that

$$\left|1/n!\right|_{p} \le p^{n/(p-1)}, \qquad n \in \mathbf{N}. \tag{14}$$

From the conditions of Lemma and the formulas (10), (14) we see that for all $n \ge 1$ and $z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)})$

$$\left| \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \right|_p \le \frac{|ab|_p^n}{|c|_p^n} p^{n/(p-1)} |z|_p^n \le \frac{|ab|_p^n}{|c|_p^n}. \tag{15}$$

From the inequality $|c|_p > |ab|_p$ together with the estimates (15) the convergence of series (4) follows, so from the principle of isosceles triangle it follows that

$$|F(a,b;c;z)|_p = \max \left\{ 1; \sup_{n\geq 1} \left\{ \frac{|(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \Big|_p \right\} \right\} = 1.$$

Lemma is proved.

Lemma 5. Let $a,b,c \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $|a|_p \neq |c|_p$, $|b|_p \neq |c|_p$, $\min\{|a|_p,|b|_p\} > 1$,

 $|c|_{p} > \max\{|a|_{p}, |b|_{p}, |ab|_{p}\}. \text{ If } z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)}) \text{ then }$

$$\left| f_n(z) - \frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} \right|_p = |a_1|_p \cdot \dots \cdot |a_{n+1}|_p \cdot |z|_p^n, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (16)

Proof. Let us prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following formula is true

$$\frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} = \frac{a_{n+1}zA_{n-1}(z) + w_{n+1}(z)A_n(z)}{a_{n+1}zB_{n-1}(z) + w_{n+1}(z)B_n(z)},$$
(17)

where $w_n(z)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined by the equation (8). Let us use the method of mathematical induction on n. For n = 1 we have

$$\frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} = 1 + \frac{a_1z}{w_1(z)}.$$

Let us assume that the formula (17) it true for n < k. Now we shall prove that it holds for n = k. From the induction assumptions we obtain

$$\frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} = \frac{a_k z A_{k-2}(z) + w_k(z) A_{k-1}(z)}{a_k z B_{k-2}(z) + w_k(z) B_{k-1}(z)}.$$

Since $w_k(z) = 1 + \frac{a_{k+1}z}{w_{k+1}(z)}$ (see formula (7)), then

$$\frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} = \frac{a_k z A_{k-2}(z) + \left(1 + \frac{a_{k+1} z}{w_{k+1}(z)}\right) A_{k-1}(z)}{a_k z B_{k-2}(z) + \left(1 + \frac{a_{k+1} z}{w_{k+1}(z)}\right) B_{k-1}(z)} = \frac{a_{k+1} z A_{k-1}(z) + w_{k+1}(z) A_k(z)}{a_{k+1} z B_{k-1}(z) + w_{k+1}(z) B_k(z)}.$$

Therefore from the formula (17) it follows that

$$\begin{split} \left| f_n(z) - \frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} \right|_p &= \\ &= \left| \frac{a_n z A_{n-2}(z) + A_{n-1}(z)}{a_n z B_{n-2}(z) + B_{n-1}(z)} - \frac{a_n z A_{n-2}(z) + w_n(z) A_{n-1}(z)}{a_n z B_{n-2}(z) + w_n(z) B_{n-1}(z)} \right|_p &= \\ &= \frac{\left| f_{n-1}(z) - f_{n-2}(z) \right|_p \left| a_n z \right|_p \left| 1 - w_n(z) \right|_p}{\left| a_n z B_{n-2}(z) + W_n(z) B_{n-1}(z) \right|_p} \,. \end{split}$$

Since from Lemma 4 and formula (8) it follows that $|w_n(z)|_p = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then from Lemmas 2, 3 and formula (7) we obtain (16).

Lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since from the assumptions of the Theorem 2 and of Lemmas 1, 5 it follows that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in D(p^{1/(1-p)})$ it is true that

$$\left| f_n(z) - \frac{F(a,b;c;z)}{F(a,b+1;c+1;z)} \right|_p \le (\max\{|a|_p,|b|_p\}/|c|_p)^{n+1}.$$

From this inequality and inequality $|c|_p > \max\{|a|_p, |b|_p\}$ it follows that the sequence of functions (9) converges to the ratio (3) in \mathbf{Q}_p .

Література

1. Gauss C. F. Disquisitiones Generales circa Seriem Infinitam

$$1 + \frac{\alpha\beta}{1 \cdot \gamma} x + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\beta(\beta+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \gamma \cdot (\gamma+1)} x^2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\beta(\beta+1)(\beta+2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \gamma \cdot (\gamma+1) \cdot (\gamma+2)} x^3 + etc.,$$

Pars prior, Comm. soc. regiae sci. Gottingensis rec. 2 (1812), 1-46, Werke; Band 3, Königliche Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, Göttingen (1876), 123-162.

- 2. Lorentzen L. Continued fractions with applications / L.Lorentzen, H.Waadeland // Vol. 3 of Studies in Computational Mathematics. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992.
- 3. Jones W.B. Continued Fractions. Analytic Theory and Applications / W.B.Jones, W.J.Thron // Vol. 11 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1980.
- 4. Koblitz N. p-adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-Functions, N.Koblitz / Graduate Texts in Mathematics. No. 58, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Second edition, 1984.

Стаття надійшла до редакційної колегії 16.03.2016 р. Рекомендовано до друку член-кореспондентом НАН України, д.ф.-м.н., професором **Пташником Б.Й.** (м. Львів), к.ф.-м.н., доцентом **Казмерчуком А.І.**

ЗБІЖНІСТЬ НЕПЕРЕРВНОГО ДРОБУ ГАУССА ДО ВІДНОШЕННЯ ГІПЕРГЕОМЕТРИЧНИХ ФУНКЦІЙ В \mathbb{Q}_n

М. М. Симотюк, О. М. Медвідь

Інститут прикладних проблем механіки і математики ім. Я.С. Підстригача НАН України; 79060, м. Львів, вул. Наукова, 3-б; e-mail: quaternion@ukr.net, <u>medoks@ukr.net</u>

Встановлено умови збіжності неперервного дробу Гаусса до відношення значень гіпергеометричних функцій Гаусса в полі р-адичних чисел.

Ключові слова: гіпергеометрична функція Гаусса, неперервний дріб Гаусса, р-адичні числа.